

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA  
ASHEVILLE DIVISION  
CRIMINAL CASE NO. 1:08-cr-00015-MR**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )  
                                )  
Plaintiff,                 )  
                                )  
vs.                         )                           **O R D E R**  
                                )  
STEVEN JAMES HALL,        )  
                                )  
Defendant.                 )  
                                )

---

**THIS MATTER** is before the Court on the Defendant's "Motion to Apply  
Elderly Release Act" [Doc. 56].

The Defendant moves the Court to order his release to home confinement pursuant to the First Step Act of 2018. [Doc. 67]. Section 603(a) of the First Step Act modified 34 U.S.C. § 60541 to provide, in pertinent, part, as follows:

(A) The Attorney General shall conduct a pilot program to determine the effectiveness of removing eligible elderly offenders and eligible terminally ill offenders from a Bureau of Prisons facilities and placing such offenders on home detention until the expiration of the prison term to which the offender was sentenced.

(B) In carrying out a pilot program as described in subparagraph (A), the Attorney General may release

some or all eligible elderly offenders and eligible terminally ill offenders from the Bureau of Prisons facilities to home detention upon written request from either the Bureau of Prisons or an eligible elderly offender or terminally ill offender.

34 U.S.C. § 60541(g)(1). The First Step Act further amended the term “eligible elderly offender” as used in 34 U.S.C. § 60541 to mean “an offender in the custody of the Bureau of Prisons - (i) who is not less than 60 years of age; (ii) who is serving a term of imprisonment that is not life imprisonment ... and has served the greater of 10 years or 2/3 of the term of imprisonment to which the offender was sentenced....” 34 U.S.C. § 60541(g)(5)(A).

The discretion to release a prisoner to home confinement lies solely with the Attorney General. See 34 U.S.C. § 60541. As such, this Court lacks the authority to order the Defendant’s release to home confinement. Deffenbaugh v. Sullivan, No. 5:19-HC-2049-FL, 2019 WL 1779573, at \*1 (E.D.N.C. Apr. 23, 2019); United States v. Overcash, No. 3:15-CR-263-FDW-1, 2019 WL 1472104, at \*3 (W.D.N.C. Apr. 3, 2019) (noting that there is “no provision for judicial review of the BOP’s and Attorney General’s decision with regards to a request for home detention under § 60541(g”); United States v. Curry, No. 6:06-082-DCR, 2019 WL 508067, at \*1 (E.D. Ky. Feb. 8, 2019) (“Because the First Step Act gives the *Attorney General* the

discretion to determine if and when home confinement is appropriate, this Court does not have the authority to grant the requested relief.”) (emphasis in original).

The Defendant’s motion could also be construed as a motion for compassionate release. Section 603(b) of the First Step Act amended 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A), which allows a sentencing court to reduce an imposed sentence upon a showing that either: (1) “extraordinary and compelling reasons” exist which warrant a reduction; or (2) the defendant is at least 70 years old, has served at least 30 years in prison pursuant to a sentence under 18 U.S.C. § 3559(c), and the Bureau of Prisons Director has determined that the defendant is not a danger to the safety of any other person or the community. 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A)(i) & (ii). Specifically, Section 603(b) modified 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1) to allow a defendant, not just the Director of the Bureau of Prisons, to file a motion for compassionate release. Here, the Defendant has not stated any grounds for a reduction of sentence under § 3582(c)(1), nor has he alleged that he has fully exhausted his administrative remedies with respect to any such request. Therefore, Defendant’s motion must be denied to the extent it seeks relief pursuant to Section 603(b) of the First Step Act.

For these reasons, the Defendant's request for relief under the First Step Act of 2018 is denied.

**IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED** that the Defendant's "Motion to Apply Elderly Release Act" [Doc. 56] is **DENIED**.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Signed: February 25, 2020



Martin Reidinger  
United States District Judge

